

USD Form 150
2012-2013
ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget – Lines 1 through 21

1. Estimated 9-20-2012 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)		=	<u>428.3</u>
2. Estimated 9-20-2012 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (e) (Must be approved.) (At-risk students count as .5 FTE)		=	<u>3.0</u>
	<u>3.0</u> + <u>0.0</u> (Table IV, Line 4)		
3. Total Estimated 9-20-2012 FTE Enrollment (Line 1 + Line 2)		=	<u>431.3</u>
4. Estimated low enrollment and high enrollment for districts. 9-20-2012 FTE enrollment		=	<u>189.6</u>
(from line 3)	<u>431.3</u> x <u>0.439577</u> factor (from Table II or pages 5, 6)		
5. Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 9-20-2012 bilingual		=	<u>0.0</u>
FTE (a)	<u>0.0000</u> + <u>0.0000</u> (Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395		
6. Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 9-20-2012 vocational education		=	<u>6.9</u>
FTE(b)	<u>13.8333</u> + <u>0.0000</u> (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5		
7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment(c). Number of eligible students that qualify for free lunches		=	<u>60.2</u>
as of 9-20-2012	<u>132</u> + <u>0</u> (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456		
8. Estimated High At-Risk Weighting.			
District's calculated free lunch percentage for current year:			
(Comes from Table VI, Line 1)	30.61%		
District's calculated students per square mile:			
Line 3 / square miles in district =	431.3 / 718 = 0.6		
a. Number of students eligible for free lunch (at least 50%)	(132+0) x 0.105 =	<u>0.0</u>	
b. Number of students eligible for free lunches at 35.1% and 212.1 students per square mile.	(132+0) x 0.105 =	<u>0.0</u>	
c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (35-49.99%)	(132+0) x (0.3061-0.3500) x 0.7 =	<u>0.0</u>	
9. Est. Non-Proficient student weighting. Number of non-proficient students. (g) (<u>14</u> x 0.0465)	=	<u>0.7</u>
10. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2012 enrollment of students attending a		=	<u>0.0</u>
new facility (d)	<u>0.0</u> + <u>0.0</u> (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25		
11. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5)		=	<u>48.1</u>
12. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 4)		=	<u>0.0</u>
13. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals	<u>0</u> + \$3,838	=	<u>0.0</u>
14. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f)	<u>601,600</u> + \$3,838	=	<u>156.7</u>
15. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals	<u>0</u> + \$3,838	=	<u>0.0</u>
16. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment		=	<u>0.0</u>
17. Estimated 2012-2013 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 16)	<u>893.5</u> x \$3,838	=	<u>\$3,429,253</u>
18. Estimated Cost of Living weighting	<u>\$0</u> + \$3,838	=	<u>0.0</u>
(maximum allowed for this district)	(Amt district will use, up to the maximum)		
19. Estimated 2012-2013 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU)	<u>893.5</u> x \$3,838	=	<u>\$3,429,253</u>
20. Amount to transfer to General Fund (Form 149, Line 3).		=	<u>\$0</u>
21. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 19 + Line 20)		=	<u>\$3,429,253</u>

Local Option Budget -- See Form 155

22. Estimated 2012-2013 LOB General Fund budget (excludes FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current year Spec Ed)		=	<u>\$3,867,834</u>
(Lines 3 through 13 + 15 + 18) =	736.8 x \$4,433 = \$3,266,234 + <u>601,600</u> (Spec Ed)		